Consensus Report on the Detailed Fetal Anatomic Ultrasound Examination

Indications, Components, and Qualifications

76811 Task Force

This consensus report was developed by the 76811 Task Force, under the leadership of the American Institute of Ultrasound in Medicine (AIUM) and the Society for Maternal-Fetal Medicine (SMFM). The document was developed with the assistance of and reviewed by the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG) and has been reviewed and endorsed by the AIUM, SMFM, American College of Osteopathic Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOOG), American College of Radiology (ACR), Society of Diagnostic Medical Sonography (SDMS), and Society of Radiologists in Ultrasound (SRU).

Address correspondence to Joseph Wax, MD, MMC Ob/Gyn Associates, 887 Congress St, Suite 200, Portland, ME 04102 USA.

E-mail: waxj@mmc.org

Abbreviations

ACOG, American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists; ACOOG, American College of Osteopathic Obstetricians and Gynecologists; ACR, American College of Radiology; AIUM, American Institute of Ultrasound in Medicine; CPT, Current Procedural Terminology; SDMS, Society of Diagnostic Medical Sonography; SMFM, Society for Maternal-Fetal Medicine; SRU, Society of Radiologists in Ultrasound

doi:10.7863/ultra.33.2.189

nitially developed for detailed ultrasound studies performed for pregnancies with increased risk of fetal anomalies, there has been little consistency in the application of *Current Procedural Terminology* (*CPT*) code 76811 ("ultrasound, pregnant uterus, real time with image documentation, maternal evaluation plus detailed fetal anatomic examination, transabdominal, single or first gestation") since it was first included in the 2003 edition of *CPT*.^{1,2}

On April 9, 2013, the American Institute of Ultrasound in Medicine (AIUM) and the Society for Maternal-Fetal Medicine (SMFM) hosted a meeting in New York, New York, to develop the appropriate indications for performing a detailed fetal anatomic ultrasound examination, the components of the examination, and the training required to interpret it. Participants included representatives from the AIUM, SMFM, American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG), American College of Osteopathic Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOOG), American College of Radiology (ACR), Society of Diagnostic Medical Sonography (SDMS), and Society of Radiologists in Ultrasound (SRU).

Indications

The detailed fetal anatomic examination (*CPT* 76811) is not intended to be the routine ultrasound examination performed for all pregnancies. Rather, it is an indication-driven examination performed for a known or suspected fetal anatomic abnormality, known fetal growth disorder, genetic abnormality, or increased risk for a fetal anatomic or genetic abnormality. Thus, the performance of the detailed fetal anatomic examination should be rare outside referral practices with special expertise in the identification and diagnosis of fetal anomalies. Only 1 such medically indicated study per pregnancy per practice is appropriate. If 1 or more required structures are not adequately demonstrated during the 76811 examination, the patient may be brought back for a focused assessment (76816). A second detailed fetal anatomic survey should not be performed unless there are extenuating circumstances.

Indications for a detailed fetal anatomic examination include but are not limited to the following conditions:

- Previous fetus or child with a congenital, genetic, or chromosomal abnormality³;
- Known or suspected fetal anomaly or known growth disorder in the current pregnancy³;

- 3. Fetus at increased risk for a congenital anomaly, such as the following:
 - Maternal pregestational diabetes or gestational diabetes diagnosed before 24 weeks' gestation⁴;
 - b. Pregnancy conceived via assisted reproductive technology⁵;
 - c. High maternal body mass index $(\geq 35 \text{ kg/m}^2)^{6,7}$;
 - d. Multiple gestation⁸;
 - e. Abnormal maternal serum analytes, including α-fetoprotein level and unconjugated estriol⁹;
 - f. Teratogen exposure¹⁰;
 - g. First-trimester nuchal translucency measurement of 3.0 mm or greater¹¹;
- 4. Fetus at increased risk for a genetic or chromosomal abnormality, such as the following:
 - Parental carrier of a chromosomal or genetic abnormality³;
 - b. Maternal age of 35 or older years at delivery³;
 - c. Positive screening test results for aneuploidy, including noninvasive prenatal testing³;
 - d. Soft aneuploidy marker noted on an ultrasound examination¹²;
 - e. First-trimester nuchal translucency of 3.0 mm or greater¹¹;
- 5. Other conditions affecting the fetus, including the following:
 - a. Congenital infections¹³;
 - b. Maternal drug dependence¹³;
 - c. Isoimmunization¹⁴;
 - d. Oligohydramnios¹⁵; and
 - e. Polyhydramnios.¹⁵

Table 1 includes examples of *International Classification* of *Diseases, Ninth Revision,* codes associated with various indications for the 76811 examination.

Specifications of the Examination

A detailed comprehensive fetal ultrasound examination (76811) includes, in addition to all of the components of a basic fetal ultrasound examination (76805), a detailed anatomic survey, fetal and maternal, as outlined in Table 2. Some components depend on the gestational age at the time the examination is performed. Components in Table 2 marked with a superscript footnote (^a) are performed when medically indicated.

Qualifications to Perform and/or Interpret the Detailed Fetal Anatomic Ultrasound Examination

Performance and interpretation of a detailed fetal anatomic scan (76811) require advanced skills and knowledge and the ability to effectively communicate the findings to the patient and her referring physician. For quality assurance, the physician should obtain outcomes of anomalous cases when possible and maintain records showing the correlation between the outcomes and the sonographic findings. Detailed anatomic scans of the fetus are optimally performed in facilities that are accredited in ultrasound by organizations such as the ACR and AIUM.

Training

Physicians performing and/or interpreting detailed fetal anatomic ultrasound examinations must meet at least 1 of the following qualifying criteria:

- 1. The physicians are appropriately trained obstetricians, maternal-fetal medicine specialists, or radiologists with special expertise in fetal imaging who have acquired the appropriate knowledge and skills mentioned above. The training required to acquire those skills may include subspecialty fellowships such as maternal-fetal medicine, or fellowships of at least 1 year that include obstetric ultrasound training under the formal supervision of a qualified physician.^a
- 2. In lieu of subspecialty training, physicians can keep a log showing that they participated in the scanning and interpretation of at least 100 detailed fetal anatomic scans performed in pregnancies in which there is increased risk of a fetal anatomic or genetic abnormality, under the formal supervision of a qualified physician.^a The physicians should also be involved in the interpretation of at least 25 fetal cases with major morphologic abnormalities. This log should include a signed attestation by the supervising physician.
 - ^a The supervising interpreting physician must meet 1 of the 2 qualifying criteria mentioned. The supervising physician reviews, discusses, and confirms the diagnosis of the physician being supervised. The supervising interpreting physician does not have to be present at the time of the initial interpretation. However, the supervising physician must review and, if necessary, correct the final interpretation.

Maintenance of Competence

All physicians performing detailed fetal anatomic ultrasound examinations should maintain continuing competence in the interpretation and reporting of these examinations. Once qualifying criteria have been met, ongoing experience is the best method for avoiding the erosion of skills. The performance of an average of 1 or 2 detailed fetal anatomic surveys per week (100 per annum) should allow a physician to maintain competence.

Continuing Medical Education

The physician should complete 10 hours of *AMA PRA Category 1 Credits*[™] in obstetric ultrasound examinations of fetal anomalies and/or other components of the detailed fetal anatomic ultrasound examination every 3 years.

Table 1. Examples of International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision, Codes Associated With Various Indications for the 76811 Examination

| Code | Indication | | |
|------------------|---|--|--|
| 278.01 | Morbid obesity (severe obesity with a body mass index of \geq 35 kg/m ²) | | |
| 647.43 | Malaria complicating pregnancy, antepartum condition or complication | | |
| 647.63 | Other viral diseases complicating pregnancy, antepartum condition or complication | | |
| 647.83 | Other specified infectious and parasitic diseases complicating pregnancy, antepartum condition or complication | | |
| 648.03 | Diabetes mellitus complicating pregnancy, antepartum condition or complication | | |
| 648.33 | Drug dependence complicating pregnancy, antepartum condition or complication | | |
| 648.53 | Congenital cardiovascular disorders complicating pregnancy, antepartum condition or complication | | |
| 651.03 | Twin pregnancy, antepartum condition or complication | | |
| 651.13 | Triplet pregnancy, antepartum condition or complication | | |
| 651.23 | Quadruplet pregnancy, antepartum condition or complication | | |
| 651.33 | Twin pregnancy with fetal loss and retention of 1 fetus, antepartum condition or complication | | |
| 651.43 | Triplet pregnancy with fetal loss and retention of 1 or more fetus(es), antepartum condition or complication | | |
| 651.53 | Quadruplet pregnancy with fetal loss and retention of 1 or more fetus(es), antepartum condition or complication | | |
| 653.63 | Hydrocephalic fetus causing disproportion complicating pregnancy, antepartum condition or complication | | |
| 653.73 | Other fetal abnormality causing disproportion complicating pregnancy, antepartum condition or complication | | |
| 655.03 | Central nervous system malformation in fetus complicating pregnancy, antepartum condition or complication | | |
| 655.13 | Chromosomal abnormality in fetus complicating pregnancy, antepartum condition or complication | | |
| 655.23 | Hereditary disease in family possibly affecting fetus complicating pregnancy, antepartum condition or complication | | |
| 655.33 | Suspected damage to fetus from viral disease in mother complicating pregnancy, antepartum condition or complication | | |
| 655.43 | Suspected damage to fetus from other disease in mother complicating pregnancy, antepartum condition or complication | | |
| 655.53 | Suspected damage to fetus from drugs complicating pregnancy, antepartum condition or complication | | |
| 655.63 | Suspected damage to fetus from radiation complicating pregnancy, antepartum condition or complication | | |
| 655.83 | Other known or suspected fetal abnormality, not elsewhere classified, complicating pregnancy, antepartum condition or | | |
| 655 93 | Complication | | |
| 65613 | Dispetition in adspected real and monitory and an addition or complication | | |
| 656.23 | Isoimmunization from other and unspecified blood group in incompatibility antenatum condition or complication | | |
| 656 53 | Boor fatal growth complicating pregnancy antenarture condition or complication | | |
| 65703 | Polyhydramnias complicating pregnancy attenating condition or complication | | |
| 658.03 | Oligobydramnios complicating pregnancy anteparatin condition or complication | | |
| 659.53 | Elded v primicravida complicating pregnancy antenarti un condition or complication | | |
| 659.63 | Elderly multicravida complicating pregnancy, antenatum condition or complication | | |
| 659.73 | Electry managements complete and programmers, an expansion or complete and the second s | | |
| 663.83 | Other umbilical cord complications, antepartum condition or complication | | |
| 665.93 | Unspecified obstatic trauma antenatum condition or complication | | |
| 793.6 | Unspecific abarrant findings on radiologic and other examinations of abdominal area including retroperitoneum | | |
| 793.99 | Nonspectific abriomating and so that adold the examinations of addominations of hody structure | | |
| V23 81 | On the nonspecific automating of matching and a the commutations of body structure | | |
| V23.01 V23.82 | Supervision of high historegraphy of elderly multicravida | | |
| V23.85 | Pregnancy resulting from assisted reproductive technology | | |
| V28.2 | Other antenatal screening based on amniocentesis | | |
| V85 35_V85 45 | Body mass index $>35-70$ kg/m ² and over adult | | |
| V85.35–V85.45 | Body mass index \geq 35–70 kg/m ² and over, adult | | |

These examples are provided to assist clinicians and are not intended to be all-inclusive.

| Component | Basic | Detailed |
|---------------------|---|---|
| Head and neck | Lateral cerebral ventricles | 3rd ventricle ^{a,16} |
| | Choroid plexus | 4th ventricle ^{a,16} |
| | Midline falx | Lateral ventricles ^{b,16} |
| | Cavum septi pellucidi | Cerebellar lobes, vermis, and cisterna magna ^{b,17} |
| | Cerebellum | Corpus callosum ^{a,18} |
| | Cisterna magna | Integrity and shape of cranial vault ¹⁹ |
| | | Brain parenchyma ²⁰ |
| | | Neck ^{21,22} |
| Face | Upper lip | Profile ^{23–25} |
| | | Coronal face (nose/lips/lens ^a) ²³ |
| | | Palate, ^a maxilla, mandible, and tongue ^{a,26,27} |
| | | Ear position and size ^a |
| | | Orbits ^a |
| Chest | Cardiac activity | Aortic arch |
| Heart and thorax | 4-chamber view | Superior and inferior venae cavae ^{28,29} |
| | Left ventricular outflow tract | 3-vessel view ²⁹ |
| | Right ventricular outflow tract | 3-vessel and trachea view ³⁰ |
| | | Lungs ^{31,32} |
| | | Integrity of diaphragm ³³ |
| | | Ribs ^{a,34,35} |
| Abdomen | Stomach (presence, size, and situs) | Small and large bowel ^{a,36–38} |
| | Kidneys | Adrenal glands ^{a,39} |
| | Urinary bladder | Gallbladder ^{a,40,41} |
| | Cord insertion site into fetal abdomen | Liver ^{38,42} |
| | Umbilical cord vessel number | Renal arteries ^{a,43} |
| | | Spleen ^{a,42} |
| | | Integrity of abdominal wall ^{b,44} |
| Spine | Cervical | Integrity of spine and overlying soft tissue ^{b,45,46} |
| | Thoracic | Shape and curvature ^{45,46} |
| | Lumbar | |
| | Sacral spine | |
| Extremities | Legs | Number: architecture and position ^{47–51} |
| | Arms | Hands ^{51–53} |
| | | Feet ⁵¹⁻⁵⁴ |
| | | Digits: number and position ^{a,51,52} |
| Genitalia | In multiple gestations | Sex ^{a,55} |
| | When medically indicated | |
| Placenta | Location | Masses ^{56,57} |
| | Relationship to internal os | Placental cord insertion ⁵⁸ |
| | Appearance | Accessory/succenturiate lobe with location of |
| | Placental cord insertion (when possible) | connecting vascular supply to primary placenta ^{a,59,60} |
| Standard evaluation | Fetal number | |
| | Presentation | |
| | Qualitative or semiqualitative estimate of amniotic fluid | |
| Maternal anatomy | Cervix (transvaginal when indicated) | |
| | Uterus | |
| | Adnexa | |
| Biometry | Biparietal diameter | Cerebellum ^{a,61} |
| | Head circumference | Inner and outer orbital diameters ^{a,62} |
| | Femur length | Nuchal thickness (16–20 wk) ^{63–65} |
| | Abdominal circumference | Nasal bone measurement (15–22 wk) ^{63–66} |
| | Fetal weight estimate | Humerus ^{a,63–65} |
| | | Ulna/radius ^a |
| | | Libia/tibula ^a |

Table 2. Components of CPT 76811 (Basic and Detailed Examinations)

^aPerformed when medically indicated.

^bAlso included in the basic obstetric examination.

Conclusions

The goal of any diagnostic medical procedure is to improve patient care. Adherence to these recommendations may promote the performance of appropriately detailed ultrasound examinations when medically indicated, improve diagnostic accuracy when interpreted by a qualified physician, and reduce excess charges and patient copayments for more expensive examinations when a complete basic examination is sufficient.

76811 Task Force Members

AIUM Joseph Wax, MD, Cochair

ACOG Howard Minkoff, MD

ACOOG Anthony Johnson, DO

ACR Beverly Coleman, MD Deborah Levine, MD

SMFM Andrew Helfgott, MD, Cochair Daniel O'Keeffe, MD

SDMS Charlotte Henningsen, MS, RT, RDMS, RVT

SRU Carol Benson, MD

References

- American Medical Association. CPT Professional 2003. Chicago, IL: American Medical Association; 2003.
- Copel JA. At long last, a CPT change for obstetric sonography. J Ultrasound Med 2003; 22:1–3.
- American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists, Committee on Practice Bulletins. ACOG Practice Bulletin No. 77: screening for fetal chromosomal abnormalities. *Obstet Gynecol* 2007; 109:217–227.
- Knight KM, Thornburg LL, Pressman EK. Pregnancy outcomes in type 2 diabetic patients as compared with type 1 diabetic patients and nondiabetic controls. *J Reprod Med* 2012; 57:379–404.
- Davies MJ, Moore VM, Willson KJ, et al. Reproductive technologies and the risk of birth defects. N Engl J Med 2012; 366:1803–1813.
- Rasmussen SA, Chu SY, Kim SY, Schmid CH, Lau J. Maternal obesity and risk of neural tube defects: a metaanalysis. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 2008; 198:611–619.

- Stothard KJ, Tennant PW, Bell R, Rankin J. Maternal overweight and obesity and the risk of congenital anomalies: a systematic review and metaanalysis. *JAMA* 2009; 301:636–650.
- Vink J, Wapner R, D'Alton ME. Prenatal diagnosis in twin gestations. Semin Perinatol 2012; 36:169–174.
- Zarzour SJ, Gahert HA, Diket AL, St Amant M, Miller JM Jr. Abnormal maternal serum alpha fetoprotein and pregnancy outcome. *J Matern Fetal Med* 1998; 6:304–307.
- Brent RL, Beckman DA, Landel CP. Clinical teratology. Curr Opin Pediatr 1993; 5:201–211.
- 11. De Dominico R, Faraci M, Hyseni E, et al. Increased nuchal translucency in normal karyotype fetuses. *J Prenat Med* 2011; 5:23–26.
- 12. Breathnach FM, Fleming A, Malone FD. The second trimester genetic sonogram. *Am J Med Genet Part C Semin Med Genet* 2007; 145C:62–72.
- Rasmussen SA, Erickson JD, Reef SE, Ross DS. Teratology: From science to birth defects prevention. *Birth Defects Res A Clin Mol Teratol* 2009; 85:82–92.
- Dukler D, Oepkes D, Seaward G, Windrim R, Ryan G. Noninvasive tests to predict fetal anemia: a study comparing Doppler and ultrasound parameters. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 2003; 188:1310–1314.
- Oyelese Y. Placenta, umbilical cord and amniotic fluid: the not-lessimportant accessories. *Clin Obstet Gynecol* 2012; 55:307–323.
- Wax JR, Bookman L, Cartin A, Pinette MG, Blackstone J. Mild fetal cerebral ventriculomegaly: diagnosis, clinical associations, and outcomes. *Obstet Gynecol Surv* 2003; 58:407–414.
- Kapur RP, Mahony BS, Finch L, Siebert JR. Normal and abnormal anatomy of the cerebellar vermis in midgestational human fetuses. *Birth Defects Res A Clin Mol Teratol* 2009; 85:700–709.
- Santo S, D'Antonio F, Homfray T, et al. Counseling in fetal medicine: agenesis of the corpus callosum. *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol* 2012; 40:513– 521.
- Joó JG, Beke A, Szigeti Z, et al. Craniospinal malformations in a twelve-year fetopathological study: the efficiency of ultrasonography in view of fetopathological investigations. *Early Hum Dev* 2008; 84:115–119.
- Pugash D, Hendson G, Dunham CP, Dewar K, Money DM, Prayer D. Sonographic assessment of normal and abnormal patterns of fetal cerebral lamination. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2012; 40:642–651.
- Rauff S, Kien TE. Ultrasound diagnosis of fetal neck masses: a case series [published online January 15, 2013]. *Case Rep Obstet Gynecol.* doi: 10.1155/2013/243590.
- 22. Liberty G, Boldes R, Shen O, Shaul C, Cohen SM, Yagel S. The fetal larynx and pharynx: structure and development on two- and threedimensional ultrasound. *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol* 2013; 42:140–148.
- McGahan MC, Ramos GA, Landry C, et al. Multislice display of the fetal face using 3-dimensional ultrasonography. J Ultrasound Med 2008; 27:1573–1581.
- Lee W, McNie B, Chaiworapongsa T, et al. Three-dimensional ultrasonographic presentation of micrognathia. J Ultrasound Med 2002; 21:775–781.
- 25. Wang LM, Leung KY, Tang M. Prenatal evaluation of facial clefts by threedimensional extended imaging. *Prenat Diagn* 2007; 27:722–729.

- Pilu G, Segata M. A novel technique for visualization of the normal and cleft fetal secondary palate: angled insonation and three-dimensional ultrasound. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2007; 29:166–169.
- Wong HS, Tait J, Pringle KC. Viewing of the soft and the hard palate on routine 3-D ultrasound sweep of the fetal face: a feasibility study. *Fetal Diagn Ther* 2008; 24:146–154.
- Hofstaetter C, Plath H, Hansmann M. Prenatal diagnosis of abnormalities of the fetal venous system. *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol* 2000; 15:231– 241.
- Rollins RC, Acherman RJ, Castillo WJ, Evans WN, Restrepo H. Aorta larger than pulmonary artery in the fetal 3-vessel view. J Ultrasound Med 2009; 28:9–12.
- Yagel S, Arbel R, Anteby EY, Raveh D, Achiron R. The three vessels and trachea view (3VT) in fetal cardiac scanning. *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol* 2002; 20:340–345.
- Bahlmann F, Merz E, Hallermann C, Stopfkuchen H, Krämer W, Hofmann M. Congenital diaphragmatic hernia: ultrasonic measurement of fetal lungs to predict pulmonary hypoplasia. *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol* 1999; 14:162–168.
- Moeglin D, Talmant C, Duyme M, Lopez AC. Fetal lung volumetry using two- and three-dimensional ultrasound. *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol* 2005; 25:119–127.
- Metkus AP, Filly RA, Stringer MD, Harrison MR, Adzick NS. Sonographic predictors of survival in fetal diaphragmatic hernia. *J Pediatr Surg* 1996; 31:148–151.
- Ruano R, Molho M, Roume J, Ville Y. Prenatal diagnosis of fetal skeletal dysplasias by combining two-dimensional and three-dimensional ultrasound and intrauterine three-dimensional helical computer tomography. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2004; 24:134–140.
- Dugoff L, Coffin CT, Hobbins JC. Sonographic measurement of the fetal rib cage perimeter to thoracic circumference ratio: application to prenatal diagnosis of skeletal dysplasias. *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol* 1997; 10:269–271.
- Goetzinger KR, Cahill AG, Macones GA, Odibo AO. Echogenic bowel on second-trimester ultrasonography: evaluating the risk of adverse pregnancy outcome. *Obstet Gynecol* 2011; 117:1341–1348.
- Mailath-Pokorny M, Klein K, Klebermass-Schrehof K, Hachemian N, Bettelheim D. Are fetuses with isolated echogenic bowel at higher risk for an adverse pregnancy outcome? Experiences from a tertiary referral center. *Prenat Diagn* 2012; 32:1295–1299.
- McNamara A, Levine D. Intraabdominal fetal echogenic masses: a practical guide to diagnosis and management. *Radiographics* 2005; 25:633– 645.
- van Vuuren SH, Damen-Elias HA, Stigter RH, et al. Size and volume charts of fetal kidney, renal pelvis and adrenal gland. *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol* 2012; 40:659–664.
- Shen O, Rabinowitz R, Yagel S, Gal M. Absent gallbladder on fetal ultrasound: prenatal findings and postnatal outcome. *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol* 2011; 37:673–677.
- Duguépéroux I, Scotet V, Audrézet MP, et al. Nonvisualization of fetal gallbladder increases the risk of cystic fibrosis. *Prenat Diagn* 2012; 32:21–28.

- 42. Smrcek JM, Baschat AA, Germer U, Gloeckner-Hofmann K, Gembruch U. Fetal hydrops and hepatosplenomegaly in the second half of pregnancy: a sign of myeloproliferative disorder in fetuses with trisomy 21. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2001; 17:403–409.
- Sepulveda W, Stagiannis KD, Flack NJ, Fisk NM. Accuracy of prenatal diagnosis of renal agenesis with color flow imaging in severe secondtrimester oligohydramnios. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 1995; 173:1788–1792.
- Kuleva M, Khen-Dunlop N, Dumez Y, Ville Y, Salomon LJ. Is complex gastroschisis predictable by prenatal ultrasound? *BJOG* 2012; 119:102– 109.
- Lennon CA, Gray DL. Sensitivity and specificity of ultrasound for the detection of neural tube and ventral wall defects in a high-risk population. *Obstet Gynecol* 1999; 94:562–566.
- Dashe JS, Twickler DM, Santos-Ramos R, McIntire DD, Ramus RM. Alpha-fetoprotein detection of neural tube defects and the impact of standard ultrasound. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 2006; 195:1623–1628.
- Schramm T, Gloning KP, Minderer S, et al. Prenatal sonographic diagnosis of skeletal dysplasias. *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol* 2009; 34:160–170.
- Dighe M, Fligner C, Cheng E, Warren B, Dubinsky T. Fetal skeletal dysplasia: an approach to diagnosis with illustrative cases. *Radiographics* 2008; 28:1061–1077.
- Parilla BV, Leeth EA, Kambich MP, Chilis P, MacGregor SN. Antenatal detection of skeletal dysplasias. J Ultrasound Med 2003; 22:255–258.
- Bowerman RA. Anomalies of the fetal skeleton: sonographic findings. AJR Am J Roentgenol 1995; 164:973–979.
- 51. Bromley B, Benacerraf B. Abnormalities of the hands and feet in the fetus: sonographic findings. *AJR Am J Roentgenol* 1995; 165:1239–1243.
- Holder-Espinasse M, Devisme L, Thomas D, et al. Pre- and postnatal diagnosis of limb anomalies: a series of 107 cases. *Am J Med Genet A* 2004; 124A:417–422.
- Stoll C, Wiesel A, Queisser-Luft, A, Froster U, Bianca S, Clementi M. Evaluation of the prenatal diagnosis of limb reduction deficiencies. EUROSCAN Study Group. *Prenat Diagn* 2000; 20:811–818.
- Canto MJ, Cano S, Palau J, Ojeda F. Prenatal diagnosis of clubfoot in lowrisk population: associated anomalies and long-term outcome. *Prenat Diagn* 2008; 28:343–346.
- Lev-Toaff AS, Ozhan S, Pretorius D, Bega G, Kurtz AB, Kuhlman K. Three-dimensional multiplanar ultrasound for fetal gender assignment: value of the mid-sagittal plane. *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol* 2000; 16:345– 350.
- Sepulveda W, Alcalde JL, Schnapp C, Bravo M. Perinatal outcome after prenatal diagnosis of placental chorioangioma. *Obstet Gynecol* 2003; 102:1028–1033.
- Lopriore E, Sueters M, Middeldorp JM, Klumper F, Oepkes D, Vandenbussche FP. Twin pregnancies with two separate placental masses can still be monochorionic and have vascular anastomoses. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 2006; 194:804–808.
- Hasegawa J, Matsuoka R, Ichizuka K, Sekizawa A, Okai T. Ultrasound diagnosis and management of umbilical cord abnormalities. *Taiwan J Obstet Gynecol* 2009; 48:23–27.

- Hasegawa J, Farian A, Nakamura M, et al. Analysis of the ultrasonographic findings predictive of vasa previa. *Prenat Diagn* 2010; 30:1121–1125.
- Gagnon R, Morin L, Bly S, et al. Guidelines for management of vasa previa. J Obstet Gynaecol Can 2009; 31:748–760.
- Malinger G, Lev D, Lerman-Sagie T. The fetal cerebellum: pitfalls in diagnosis and management. *Prenat Diagn* 2009; 29:372–380.
- Goldstein I, Tamir A, Zimmer EZ, Itskovitz-Eldor J. Growth of the fetal orbit and lens in normal pregnancies. *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol* 1998; 12:175–179.
- 63. Bethune M. Literature review and suggested protocol for managing ultrasound soft markers for Down syndrome: thickened nuchal fold, echogenic bowel, shortened femur, shortened humerus, pyelectasis and absent or hypoplastic nasal bone. *Australas Radiol* 2007; 51:218–225.
- Agathokleous M, Chaveeva P, Poon LCY, Kosinski P, Nicolaides KH. Meta-analysis of second-trimester markers for trisomy 21. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2013; 41:247–261.
- Benacerraf BR. The history of the second-trimester sonographic markers for detecting fetal Down syndrome, and their current role in obstetric practice. *Prenat Diagn* 2010; 30:644–652.
- Cusick W, Provenzano J, Sullivan CA, Gallousis FM, Rodis JF. Fetal nasal bone length in euploid and aneuploid fetuses between 11 and 20 weeks' gestation: a prospective study. J Ultrasound Med 2004; 23:1327–1333.